BHL-Europe Minutes Workshop BPG Tervuren/RMCA 10.06.2011

Feedback for D2.6 any comments?
No!

Deadline for D2.9 is end of year 2011 namely 31.12.2011

Print selections of the BPG

- Presentation of new structure of D2.9 – BPG divided in three parts – possible change of chapter order
  - o Mini 3 pages
  - o Midi 20 pages
  - o **Spee** (**sp**ecial **e**xtended **e**dition) mainly D2.6 with more examples where possible; as long as a well explained guide with many examples has to be
- Ideas of authors on this model – any changes suggested? Questions?

Talk with Jiri what is in the book
Midi like an aggregator
Additional references provide articles on how to write such documents reference it.
Midi for publication

University of Amsterdam – document Laszlo

Carrying out of new BPG structure – please see wikipage
https://bhl.wikispaces.com/BHLE_WP2_BPG

Every author shall rewrite his/her chapter – consider:
Word doc "How to write the BPG" General things
Wiki/document instructions chapter re-write – Word doc "BHL-E_D2pt9_BPG_hints"
chapter specific – additional comments can be added please as for D2.6

Do not use the TOC for the 3 pages version!!!
We need a target public/target users
Mini: maybe translate into several languages – executive summary –content – find partner –
Target users are libraries First introduction
content
Target users
mini – for director
midi – for librarians and scientist
spee – those who are actually working with it

mini very short overview what digitisation may mean in the digitisation domain
spee – running practice, should we involve taxonomic metadata
midi – expect to read starting paragraph – something about content profile technical
infrastructure – architecture, IPR how do you deal with IPR what license are we using BHL
global, Europeana

at the end of each paragraph benefits for new content providers – more visible max2-4 sentences potential benefit. E.g. for the workflow what is your benefit if you are doing that and that.
Talk also about the community
Midi – general summary of spee – enough details to be able to work with it
Mini between dissemination and CP – general interest
Spee reference document for the people who do the digitisation

Every author shall mark the most important text passages in his/her chapter and send to m.hierschlaeger@landesmuseum.at
Deliver the rewritten chapters + text markings until provisional 31.7.2011

Summary – Any comments, ideas, suggestions??
IMPACT cost calculator and storage estimator tell people about this – is perhaps of help

Consistent use of terms of OLEF!!!!!!

Chapter check with authors.

Ask Jane whether she can help us with shortening the IPR section.

4.8 funding: maybe ask Particia do give some example
Jiri + Laszlo have something from fromer eastern country
USA tom garnett
William Ulate ask for example

Perhaps ask Larissa!

Example Francisco and caterina ask them if they want tow write their cooperated workflow

Larissa workflow – perhaps Belgium example Tine, Régine
Abbreviations and Glossary

Separate the institutions from the list

Digital asset factory

Abbreviations just a resolve of the name and which you will find explained more in detail in the Glossary
Glossary: explain the same terms used in abbreviations

www.gbif.org/ocr/?doc_id-2429

include impact cost calculation

put Jiri Kvacek in the e-mailing list