WP3 meeting, 18 Nov, 15:15-16:45: Adrian Smales, Roger Essoh, Walter Koch, Henning Scholz, Chris Freeland, Tom Garnett

- it is agreed that the Index is ok and not needs to be discussed in this context
- the current work of AIT is leading towards a demonstrator (based on Dismarc), not a prototype
- Question: prototype to be a shortterm solution (quick & dirty) but different from the final, sustainable solution?
- Question: what is the prototype and when it will be delivered?
- German prototype needs to contain content from several European partners
- if the pre-ingest is dropped from the demonstrator than no multilingual search will be possible
- architecture of Dismarc is not what was discussed and documented before → a Dismarc prototype would be a fake for the EC
- prototype development can be managed in terms of risk management
- BHL code is open source and the use of this code would be fantastic
- Joint development versus divergent development: if we work with the BHL code (like China and possibly Australia) we get more time and support from the BHL community, if we develop our own system we don't get the same amount of support (economy of scale)
- We develop a prototype for Europe, but a global system based on collaborative work
- The demonstrator is not a deliverable for the EC so it can not be the prototype, it is a shortterm solution to play and test
- Prototype needs to be different: Dismarc demonstrator as a base, providing features for BHL
- The demonstrator is as delivered and should keep the full functionality (including pre-ingest)
- This functioanlity and services are used to go into BHL
- Prototype survives and will be modified/adapted towards the final system, it is not a shortterm solution
- AIT provides the demonstrator (call it prototype version 0), it is not a deliverable but used for testing functionalities
- AIT use the demonstrator as test environment for the duration of the project
- Benefit for the Content Provider: testing (sandbox)
- We have one year from now to build the prototype (M18), maybe 3 months for investigating resources and activities, 9 months for the actual implementation
- Technical Director for the prototype either Lee or Alexander; if it is a 1-day-per-week-job, Lee is an option