**Objective:** Determine what MARC 7XX (sub)fields to expose in the BHL Summary page of a title.

Date: Thursday December 5th, 2013 2:00pm Eastern Time

Attendees: Marty Schlabach; Diana Shih; Joseph deVeer,; Mike Lichtenberg; Jacqueline E. Chapman

## How do we want the author information to display?

A small group of members the Collections Committee and others who "speak" MARC, can represent the users or can/want to contribute to the topic was formed to discuss the topic on how we would like to see the author information represented visually in BHL.

Previous discussions on the topic were recorded from our Collections Committee Meetings on Dec 2nd at <a href="http://bit.ly/1je3zfq">http://bit.ly/1je3zfq</a> and Nov. 18th at <a href="http://bit.ly/1be3oJl">http://bit.ly/1je3zfq</a> and Nov. 18th at <a href="http://bit.ly/1be3oJl">http://bit.ly/1be3oJl</a>.

Look at this example in BHL and the same record in SIL's catalog

## Previously agreed:

It was decided that we would display the subfields information since we have the information in the MARC record.

It was agreed that the information from subfields won't be used as search criteria because of the inconsistencies that the content presents (for example *ill.*, *illust.*, and *illustrator*).

It was communicated that we will upload this new content into the BHL database after January 2014. This is because changes to the structure of the database need to be coordinated with the folks from Atlas of Living Australia, who will be managing the metadata mirror we keep with BHL-Australia.

It would be useful to show the subfield \$e for the 700s (see description at: <a href="http://www.oclc.org/bibformats/en/7xx/700.html">http://www.oclc.org/bibformats/en/7xx/700.html</a>)

It would also be useful to show the subfield \$t for the 700s but these analytic entries aren't clear unless you have the Notes of the 500s.

We will use the summary tab & details tabs to display the information differently.

- In the Summary display, don't duplicate author names but include subfield \$d
- In the Details display, include all author name entries w/ all subfields \$e & \$t as well as the 500s notes fields.
- We should show the subfield \$e even in the Summary display to differentiate authors from donors/illustrators/etc.

It is confusing that authors and additional authors are both listed under the "By" heading in BHL

The following suggestions were considered:

- Include the \$e subfield for all the 7XX's fields, for clarification, even when it's not searchable nor standardized.
- Collapse all duplicated authors into just one line for display purposes in the Summary tab, but
  include all of them and the \$t subfield in the Detail tab plus the notes (\$500 subfields) for
  explanations.
- Leave authors under the "By" section and separate additional authors in a new section.

After thorough discussion and examining the examples given and others new, we agreed on the following proposal:

- 1. Include the \$1XX fields first, under the "By:"
- 2. Include the \$e subfield with the author for \$7XX fields in the Summary Tab.
- 3. Add Notes \$5XX on the Details Tab in a Separate Notes field --- ie. include all \$5XX fields

## Return to Collections Committee to ask:

- 1. We have decided to Include the \$5XX notes... but the question remains on up to what?
- 2. How do we handling the merging of catalogs when the volumes are coming from different libraries?
- 3. Discuss the dates only as subjects.